

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/717,481	11/21/2000	Roland Thomas Palmatier	600.1075	9584	
75	590 06/18/2002				
Davidson Davidson & Kappel LLC			EXAMINER		
14th Floor 485 Seventh Av			CRENSHAW, MARVIN P		
New York, NY 10018			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2854		
			DATE MAILED: 06/18/2002	DATE MAILED: 06/18/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			R			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/717,481	PALMATIER, ROLAND THOMAS			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Marvin P. Crenshaw	2854			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 M	<u>March 2002</u> .				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) 🔲 🛚	Fhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	·- ·				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment	(s)					
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>10</u>	5) D Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
.S. Patent and Tr	ademark Office					

DETAILED

El ction/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-11 and 27-29, drawn to a printing device including a separate assembly device, classified in class 101, subclass 227.
- II. Claims 12-18 and 30, drawn to a printing method including a cutting operation, classified in class 101, subclass 226.
- III. Claims 19-26, drawn to a printing method including a slitting operation, classified in class 101, subclass 226.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice.

The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case Group I is an apparatus for a plurality of printed rolls using a folder and Group II is a process for forming the plurality of rolls by cutting them in a horizontal direction.

Inventions I and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice.

The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially

different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case Group I is an apparatus for a plurality of printed rolls using a folder and Group III is a process for slitting a web in a vertical direction into a plurality of rolls

Inventions II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as being able to cut a printed web in a horizontal direction to have at least one printed roll and Group III has a separate utility for slitting a web in a vertical direction into a plurality of rolls. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II or Group III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to William Gehris on June 14, 2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marvin P. Crenshaw whose telephone

number is (703) 308-0797. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Hirshfeld can be reached on (703) 305-6619. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

MPC

June 14, 2002

'STEPHEN R. FUNK PRIMARY EXAMINER